

EDITORIAL

## The Fish Barcode of Life (FISH-BOL) special issue

ROBERT HANNER<sup>1</sup>, ROB DESALLE<sup>2</sup>, ROBERT D. WARD<sup>3</sup>,  
& SERGIOS-ORESTIS KOLOKOTRONIS<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada N1G 2W1, <sup>2</sup>Sackler Institute for Comparative Genomics, American Museum of Natural History, New York 10024, USA, and <sup>3</sup>Wealth from Oceans Flagship, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

The fascinating diversity of fishes coupled with their broad socio-economic importance to humanity has made them a taxonomically well-studied group. Yet despite the current recognition of some 30,000 species and the challenging identification of already known species, species are routinely discovered. Accurately assessing species diversity remains a major challenge for systematic ichthyology, particularly given the often drastic morphological shifts encountered across developmental stages and sometimes sexes, and perhaps more subtle shifts across geographic ranges. Meanwhile, more efficient harvest methods, increasing consumer demand and globalization of trade, combined with other anthropogenic impacts such as pollution and habitat loss, are causing alarming declines in the abundance and distribution of many, if not most species of fish. Climate change is likely to exacerbate these effects. Monitoring such changes and mitigating their impacts require both a more accurate inventory of species and a more scalable and cost-effective approach to their reliable identification at any life-history stage. To meet these needs, a large community of scientists joined forces in 2005 to launch the Fish Barcode of Life (FISH-BOL) campaign (Ward et al. 2009).

The present FISH-BOL special issue of *Mitochondrial DNA* provides a 5-year progress report (Becker et al. 2011) on the campaign and includes an updated “Collaborators’ Protocol” (Steinke and Hanner 2011) to facilitate its continued growth and success. The implementation of standards (e.g. Hubert et al. 2008) is attributed to the overarching success of barcoding

(Teletchea 2010) and to this end, the new protocol aims to refine and further advance FISH-BOL best practices for the benefit of the user community. Key to this objective is the widespread adoption of specimen imaging and reporting of identification “confidence levels” as discussed in the new protocol, which also reiterates the importance of a shared informatics workbench, the Barcode of Life Data system (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007).

The utility of FISH-BOL derives from the contributions of many and varied researchers from around the world who are dedicated to expanding the barcode coverage for global fishes. The accumulating data already support applications of DNA barcoding which reveal market substitution (Wong and Hanner, 2008; Carvalho et al. 2011a; Hanner et al. 2011a) and enhancing our understanding of fisheries exploitation (Holmes et al. 2009; Doukakis et al. 2011). Yet the broad realization of benefits is predicated on a sustained effort to complete the construction of reference sequence library, which is the major focus of many articles in this special issue. From Africa (Lowenstein et al. 2011; Nwani et al. 2011) and Europe (Triantafyllidis et al. 2011), to Oceania (Smith et al. 2011) and South America (Carvalho et al. 2011b; Pereira et al. 2011a,b), a large number of researchers have contributed to this volume and to the FISH-BOL campaign.

As the editors of this special issue, we recognize the importance of providing scientific credit to those involved in the construction and expansion of large-scale databases such as FISH-BOL, and see the

---

Correspondence: R. Hanner, Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada N1G 2W1. Fax: +1 519 767 1656. E-mail: rhanner@uoguelph.ca

diverse DNA sequence and specimen data release papers herein as significant contributions to our knowledge of fish diversity. The studies highlight a number of key issues for DNA barcoding, from the inherent limitations of the barcode marker in delineating some taxa (e.g. billfishes; Hanner et al. 2011b) to the challenges of library construction when sampling nominal species far removed from original type localities (e.g. Lowenstein et al. 2011). These issues notwithstanding, the papers here reinforce the point that the great majority of fish species are easily distinguished using barcodes, and that the maturity of the FISH-BOL campaign is such that it can already support a diversity of applications. Barcode coverage on a continental scale is nearly complete for North American freshwater fishes (April et al. 2011). We look forward to publishing an ongoing stream of barcode data release papers and to the eventual completion of the FISH-BOL project's objective of barcoding all the world's fish species.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the authors of this issue for their contributions, and gratefully acknowledge the Consortium for the Barcode of Life for paying the required fees to make the papers in this special issue freely available online. The genesis of this special issue derives from a FISH-BOL Technical Session that took place at the 3rd International Barcode of Life Conference in Mexico City in November 2009. This issue is dedicated to future researchers who will make use of these barcodes to deepen our understanding of the ecology, natural history and taxonomy of fishes for the benefit of their conservation.

**Declaration of interest:** The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

### References

- April J, Mayden RL, Hanner RH, Bernatchez L. 2011. Genetic calibration of species diversity among North America's freshwater fishes. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA*, in press.
- Becker S, Hanner R, Steinke D. 2011. Five years of FISH-BOL: Brief status report. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):3–9.
- Carvalho DC, Neto DAP, Brasil BSAF, Oliveira DAA. 2011a. DNA barcoding unveils a high rate of mislabeling in a commercial freshwater catfish from Brazil. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1): 97–105.
- Carvalho DC, Oliveira DAA, Pompeu PS, Leal CG, Oliveira C, Hanner R. 2011b. Deep barcode divergence in Brazilian freshwater fishes—the case of the São Francisco River basin. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):80–86.
- Doukakis P, Hanner R, Shivji M, Bartholomew C, Chapman D, Wong E, Amato G. 2011. Applying genetic techniques to study remote shark fisheries in northeastern Madagascar. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):15–20.
- Hanner R, Becker S, Ivanova NV, Steinke D. 2011a. FISH-BOL and seafood identification: Geographically dispersed case studies reveal systemic market substitution across Canada. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):106–122.
- Hanner R, Floyd R, Bernard A, Collette BB, Shivji M. 2011b. DNA barcoding of billfishes. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):27–36.
- Holmes BH, Steinke D, Ward RD. 2009. Identification of shark and ray fins using DNA barcoding. *Fish Res 95*:280–288.
- Lowenstein JH, Osmundson TW, Tshibwabwa SM, Becker S, Hanner R, Stiassny MLJ. 2011. Incorporating DNA barcodes into a multi-year inventory of the fishes of the hyperdiverse Lower Congo River, with a multi-gene performance assessment of the genus *Labeo* as a case study. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):52–70.
- Nwani CD, Becker S, Braid H, Ude EF, Okogwu OI, Hanner R. 2011. DNA barcoding discriminates freshwater fishes from southeastern Nigeria and provides river system level phylogeographic resolution within some species. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):43–51.
- Pereira LHG, Maia GMG, Hanner R, Foresti F, Oliveira C. 2011a. DNA barcodes discriminate freshwater fishes from the Paraíba do Sul River Basin, São Paulo, Brazil. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):71–79.
- Pereira LHG, Pazian MF, Hanner R, Foresti F, Oliveira C. 2011b. DNA barcoding reveals hidden diversity in the Neotropical freshwater fish *Piabina argentea* (Characiformes: Characidae) from the Upper Paraná basin of Brazil. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):87–96.
- Ratnasingham S, Hebert P. 2007. BOLD: The barcode of life data system ([www.barcoding.life.org](http://www.barcoding.life.org)). *Mol Ecol Notes 7*:355–364.
- Smith PJ, Steinke D, McMillan P, Stewart A, Ward RD. 2011. DNA barcoding of morid cods reveals deep divergence in the antitropical *Halargyreus johnsoni* but little distinction between *Antimora rostrata* and *Antimora microlepis*. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):21–26.
- Steinke D, Hanner R. 2011. The FISH-BOL collaborators protocol. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):10–14.
- Teletchea F. 2010. After 7 years and 1000 citations: Comparative assessment of the DNA barcoding and the DNA taxonomy proposals for taxonomists and non-taxonomists. *Mitochondrial DNA 21*:206–226.
- Triantafyllidis A, Bobori D, Koliamitra C, Gbandi E, Mpanti M, Petriki O, Karaiskou N. 2011. DNA barcoding analysis of fish species diversity in four north Greek lakes. *Mitochondrial DNA 22*(Supp. 1):37–42.
- Ward RD, Hanner R, Hebert PDN. 2009. The campaign to DNA barcode all fishes, FISH-BOL. *J Fish Biol 74*:329–356.
- Wong EHK, Hanner R. 2008. DNA barcoding detects market substitution in North American seafood. *Food Res Int 41*: 828–837.