DNA barcodes identify marine fishes of São Paulo State, Brazil
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Abstract

Anthropogenic impacts are an increasing threat to the diversity of fishes, especially in areas around large urban centres, and many effective conservation actions depend on accurate species identification. Considering the utility of DNA barcoding as a global system for species identification and discovery, this study aims to assemble a DNA barcode reference sequence library for marine fishes from the coastal region of São Paulo State, Brazil. The standard 652 bp ‘barcode’ fragment of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was PCR amplified and bidirectionally sequenced from 678 individuals belonging to 135 species. A neighbour-joining analysis revealed that this approach can unambiguously discriminate 97% of the species surveyed. Most species exhibited low intraspecific genetic distances (0.31%), about 43-fold less than the distance among species within a genus. Four species showed higher intraspecific divergences ranging from 2.2% to 7.6%, suggesting overlooked diversity. Notably, just one species-pair exhibited barcode divergences of <1%. This library is a first step to better know the molecular diversity of marine fish species from São Paulo, providing a basis for further studies of this fauna – extending the ability to identify these species from all life stages and even fragmentary remains, setting the stage for a better understanding of interactions among species, calibrating the estimations about species composition and richness in an ecosystem, and providing tools for authenticating bioproducts and monitoring illegal species exploitation.
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Introduction

Species are the fundamental units of organismal biology and, although the species concept has not achieved a consensus, the activity of associating valid scientific names with groups of individuals is crucial to define priorities in biodiversity conservation (Balakrishnan 2005). Species description and identification are traditionally based on morphological traits. Nevertheless, the morphological approach alone has intrinsic limitations: phenotypic plasticity and genetic variability may mask the characters employed; cryptic taxa cannot be easily detected; identification keys typically do not treat all life stages and genders and the keys themselves may be very challenging to use, making the system heavily dependent on experts (Hebert et al. 2003b).

Over the last several decades, varied molecular tools have been used to augment morphological approaches to species identification and discovery. More recently, DNA-based methods have gained popularity because DNA is relatively stable, may be accessed from all life stages using tiny amounts of tissue, and because DNA sequences are highly reproducible (Ward et al. 2009). The current reduction in global diversity demands accelerating the rate at which knowledge about biodiversity is obtained (Savage 1995) and, although not intended to replace traditional morphological taxonomy, molecular methods arise as a new column to sustain an ‘integrative taxonomy’ approach (Padial et al. 2010).

Despite their utility, the plethora of molecular markers employed by different researchers has hindered the development of an unifying molecular identification system. Thus, Hebert et al. (2003a,b) proposed the use of a standardized marker consisting of approximately 650 base pairs from the 5’ end of the mitochondrial gene Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) as a universal
identification system suitable for most animal species. For this gene fragment, species typically exhibit a unique sequence or a tight cluster of very similar sequences deeply divergent from those of other congeneric species, collectively known as ‘DNA barcodes’ which can be used for the identification of known species and the discovery of new ones. As COI sequences tend to vary among species, but are relatively constant within the species, an unknown specimen or sample – including eggs, larvae, juveniles and fragmented remains – can be identified by matching its sequence against a reference sequence library derived from expert-identified voucher specimens. The effectiveness of the barcode fragment for species identification has been tested in diverse animal groups, and its success owes to the adherence to data standards (reviewed in Teletchea 2010).

Once reference libraries are completed for a certain group, they facilitate further studies in molecular ecology and wildlife forensics. The ability to identify species from all life stages (Pegg et al. 2006; Valdez-Moreno et al. 2010) and even from fragmentary remains (Holmes et al. 2009) sets the stage for a better understanding of interactions among species and may be helpful to calibrate estimations about species composition and richness in an ecosystem (Valentini et al. 2009). Barcode libraries also help authenticate commercial products and aid in detecting intentional or unintentional frauds involving bioproducts labelling (Wong & Hanner 2008; Barbuto et al. 2010) and may provide a tool for monitoring illegal species exploitation (Baker 2008).

Coastal zones in Brazil have experienced a strong process of urbanization after the industrial expansion in the mid-1950s. Large industrial and urban centres, like São Paulo State, have been showing signs of impacts and degradation, especially because of industrial and domestic residues, tourism activity and fisheries (Diegues 1999). To better evaluate these impacts on the stocks of marine fishes from the São Paulo State and to build a solid knowledge base for conservation initiatives, an accurate identification system for these species is crucial. Considering the promising use of DNA barcoding to identify species, this study aims to generate a comprehensive barcode reference library for marine fishes from the São Paulo coast and to calibrate the effectiveness of this tool for unambiguous species identification.

Material and methods

Specimen collection

For this study, a total of 678 fish specimens were obtained by performing bottom otter trawls or acquired in local markets or fishery landings at the south, north and central areas of the São Paulo State coast, or obtained from collaborator researchers (Fig. 1). A fin or muscle tissue fragment was removed from each individual and

![Fig. 1 Distribution of the collecting points in the São Paulo state area.](image-url)
preserved in 95% ethanol at −20 °C for molecular assays. All specimens were identified based on the morphological features, using identification keys and manuals (Figueiredo 1977; Carpenter 2002a,b,c), by a collaborator taxonomist or by the experts who provided some of the samples and, whenever possible, were deposited in the fish collection of Laboratório de Biologia e Genética de Peixes (LBP), UNESP, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil. Because of the restriction on the storage of large fishes, some specimens were not retained, but digital images or e-vouchers were kept following the FISH-BOL collaborators protocol (Steinke & Hanner 2010). Specimen provenance data, including information about the collection site, and specimen taxonomy are recorded in the BOLD project ‘Marine Fishes from São Paulo, Brazil – Part 1’ (project code: MFSPD) following established best practices for fish barcoding (Hubert et al. 2008; Ward et al. 2009). Sequences have also been deposited with GenBank (Appendix S1, Supporting Information).

In an attempt to take intraspecific variation into account, multiple specimens (mean = 5 specimens per species) were analysed for most species (119/135). However, species represented by a single specimen (16/135) were analysed for most species (119/135). How-ever, species represented by a single specimen (16/135) were included in this analysis as a first step to under-stand their genetic identity.

**Results**

Partial COI sequences were obtained for 678 fish specimens belonging to 62 families, 110 genera and 135 species (Appendix S1, Supporting Information). Sequence lengths averaged 649 bp (ranging from 457 to 652 bp), and 98.5% of them were longer than 600 bp. No indels or stop codons (that could suggest the amplification of NUMTs) were detected, and the sequences had <1% of ambiguous bases. Overall mean nucleotide frequencies were G (18.63%), C (28.59%), A (23.48%), T (29.12%).

Nearly all of the species (97%) could be discriminated using the barcode approach. The neighbour-Joining tree (Figs 2 and S1, Supporting Information) shows that specimens assigned as conspecifics clustered in cohesive

**Extraction, PCR amplification and DNA sequencing**

Molecular assays were carried out at the Laboratório de Biologia e Genética de Peixes, UNESP, Brazil and at the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (CCDB), Canada, using standard barcoding protocols (Hajibabaei et al. 2005). Total genomic DNA was isolated from each tissue sample using the DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), salt extraction (Aljanabi & Martínez 1997), or through an automated glass fibre protocol (Ivanova et al. 2006).

A 652 bp fragment of the 5′ end of the mitochondrial COI gene was amplified by PCR using different primer combinations: FishF1, FishR1, FishF2, FishR2 (Ward et al. 2005); the M13-tailed primer cocktails C_FishF1t1–C_FishR1t1 and C_VFILFt1–C_VFIR1t1 (Ivanova et al. 2007); or L5698-Asn (Miya & Nishida 2000) and H7271-Coi (Melo et al. 2011). The Fish primer set was the first one to be used; if they failed, the cocktail primers were tested, and in case of suspected amplification of pseudogenes, the last pair of primers, targeting a larger area of the mitochondrial genome, was used. PCR mixes were based on Steinke & Hanner (2010), with minor adjustments according to the species being studied and the DNA polymerase used. Reactions were carried out in a thermocycler (Veriti® 96-well Thermal Cycler, Applied Biosystems™ or Mastercycler® EPGradient, Eppendorf), under the following general thermal conditions: denaturation at 95 °C, annealing at 48–56 °C (according to species and primer) and extension at 72 °C.

Amplification success was checked via gel electrophoresis using Blue Green Loading Dye 1 (LGci Biotecnologia) in 1% agarose gels or on precast agarose gels (E-gel®; Invitrogen™). Amplified PCR products were purified with an ExoSap-IT® (USB Corporation) solution. Purified PCR products were labelled with the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit (ABI). Labelled products were purified with ethylenedia-mine tetraacetic acid/sodium acetate/alcohol precipitation or with Sephadex® G-50 (Sigma-Aldrich), and then bidirectionally sequenced on automated DNA sequencers: 4-capillary ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems™), 8-capillary ABI 3500 Genetic Analyzer, or 48-capillary ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer.

**Data analysis**

Bidirectional sequence ‘contigs’ were assembled, and multiple sequence alignments were constructed using SEQSCAPE v2.6 (Applied Biosystems™), SEQUENCHER 4.8 (Gene Codes Corporation) or CODONCODE ALIGNER (Codon Code Corporation) to obtain consensus sequences, and BIOEDIT SEQUENCE ALIGNMENT EDITOR v7.0.5.3 (Hall 1999) was used to check them for indels or stop codons. Genetic distances among and within species were calculated using the Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) substitution model (Kimura 1980) and the divergence patterns suggested by those distances were graphically represented using a neighbour-joining (NJ) 1000 replicates bootstrap tree using MEGA v5.0 (Tamura et al. 2011). Sequence alignments were carried out using the ClustalW algorithm available on DAMBE software (Xia & Xie 2001) or with BOLD tools (Ratnasingham & Hebert 2007). The average base composition of sequences and the nearest-neighbour distance analysis were carried out using BOLD tools (Ratnasingham & Hebert 2007).

**Results**

Partial COI sequences were obtained for 678 fish specimens belonging to 62 families, 110 genera and 135 species (Appendix S1, Supporting Information). Sequence lengths averaged 649 bp (ranging from 457 to 652 bp), and 98.5% of them were longer than 600 bp. No indels or stop codons (that could suggest the amplification of NUMTs) were detected, and the sequences had <1% of ambiguous bases. Overall mean nucleotide frequencies were G (18.63%), C (28.59%), A (23.48%), T (29.12%).

Nearly all of the species (97%) could be discriminated by the barcode approach. The neighbour-Joining tree (Figs 2 and S1, Supporting Information) shows that specimens assigned as conspecifics clustered in cohesive
Fig. 2 Condensed neighbour-joining tree showing genetic distances (K2P) among 135 marine fish species from the São Paulo State area, Brazil. The number of fish specimens analysed for each species is between brackets.
Mean K2P distance within species (0.31%) was about 43-fold less than the distance among congeners (13.29%), with genetic distance increasing further at higher taxonomic levels (Table 1). The total number of sequences presented here represents 136 barcode clusters or BINs (Barcode Index Numbers; BOLD 3.0) – 69 of them are taxonomically concordant with the other records from BOLD clustered in the same BIN, while 58 are discordant, in most cases at the species level, and 9 of them represent singletons.

Nearest-neighbour distance analysis revealed one pair of species with K2P distances <2.0% divergent from one another, but still divergent enough to discriminate each species as a molecular unit (*Genidens barbus* and *G. genidens*; see Fig. 3).

Among the species with two or more specimens analysed, four showed intraspecific divergences higher than 2.0% (*Carcharhinus limbatus*, *Pomadasys corvinaeformis*, *Larimus breviceps* and *Trichiurus lepturus*), which were split into subclusters (Fig. 4). For *Carcharhinus limbatus*, one specimen (LBPV53117) diverged from the other four by 5.7%, whereas the K2P genetic distance within the subcluster composed of the remaining specimens was null. One specimen of *Larimus breviceps* (LBPV53168) was 7.6% divergent from the others, members of a cluster with only 0.1% internal divergence. One individual of *Pomadasys corvinaeformis* (LBPV51404) split from its conspecifics by 2.2%, whereas the distance among the remaining specimens in the subgroup was null. Finally, one specimen of *Trichiurus lepturus* (LBPV51419) had a genetic distance of 3.0% relative to the other members of the group, which exhibited only 0.4% divergence among

### Table 1 K2P genetic divergence values within different taxonomic levels for 678 specimens from the São Paulo State coast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa Comparisons</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within species</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>7.717†</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within genus</td>
<td>0.617*</td>
<td>13.295</td>
<td>20.725</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within family</td>
<td>5.158</td>
<td>19.008</td>
<td>27.936</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to *Genidens barbus* – *G. genidens* pair of species
†Due to *Larimus breviceps* species.
them. These values may suggest the existence of cryptic species or morphological misidentification; hence, these voucher specimens were re-examined. However, the outlier individuals maintained their initial morphological designation, indicating deep divergent lineages or hidden diversity in those groups.

The best nucleotide substitution model was calculated for both groups with low interspecific and deep intraspecific genetic distances, using MEGA 5.0 tools (data not shown). However, no significant differences between the distances calculated using these models and the K2P model were found.

**Discussion**

Regarding fishes, erroneous or imprecise identification of species is a significant problem for fisheries management (Lleonart *et al.* 2006) and morphologically exclusive approaches have limitations for identifying juveniles, damaged or fragmentary remains and cryptic taxa. The present investigation demonstrates that DNA barcoding can be used to discriminate among marine fishes from São Paulo and also contributes valuable reference data to the International Barcoding of Life (iBOL.org) project’s Fish Barcode of Life (FISH-BOL) campaign via the Barcode of Life Data Systems (BOLD), yielding a barcode library of 135 marine fish species from the São Paulo State, Brazil, which represents about 25% of all species estimated for this area (Menezes 2011). From a zoogeographic point of view, the São Paulo State region represents a transitional zone where tropical and cold-water species coexist (Palacio 1982), resulting in a high level of fish biodiversity – encompassing almost half of the more than 1200 species estimated for the Brazilian marine fishes (Menezes *et al.* 2003).

Nearly all of the species could be discriminated by their divergent COI genetic distances. The K2P mean distance within species observed here (0.31%) is in accordance with that of other comprehensive analysis of fishes from Antarctica, 0.38% (Rock *et al.* 2008), Australia, 0.39% (Ward *et al.* 2005), China, 0.32% (Zhang 2011), India, 0.3% (Lakra *et al.* 2011), and Mexico, 0.31% (Valdez-Moreno *et al.* 2010), but slightly higher than for fishes from Argentina, 0.23% (Mabragaña *et al.* 2011), and Pacific Canada, 0.25% (Steinke *et al.* 2009a), and slightly lower than that for ornamental fish from Indian and Pacific Oceans, 0.42% (Steinke *et al.* 2009b). Nevertheless, in this study, K2P mean distance within genera (13.2%) was close only to the value found for the Mexican fish (13.8%), being lower than the value of the Chinese study (15.7%) and higher than the values found for all the other data sets (ranging from 3.75% to 10.81%). Genetic mean distance increased with increasing taxonomic levels, supporting the idea of a significant change in genetic divergence at the species boundaries (Hebert *et al.* 2003b).

Nearest-neighbour distance analysis revealed that only two of the species were <1.0% divergent from their nearest neighbour – the species *Genidens genidens* diverged from *G. barbus* by only 0.62%. The other 98.5% of the species ranged from 4.14% to 23.63% divergent from their nearest neighbour. Although the distance between *G. genidens* and *G. barbus* is short, morphological and cytogenetic evidences suggest that they are separate units. Among the individuals belonging to the two species, there are clear differences on their ocipital processes and predorsal plates, on the shape of their premaxillary and palatine bones and on their vomerine teeth disposition, all diagnostic features for marine catfishes (Marceniuk & Menezes 2007) and, although the karyotype of both species show 56 chromosomes, they are structurally different and only *G. barbus* individuals carry sexual chromosomes (Gomes *et al.* 1994; Szczepanski *et al.* 2010). Such evidences, combined with the presence of nucleotide substitutions in four positions along the barcode fragment of these species (Fig. S2, Supporting Information), all of them at the third codon position and silent, point the existence of two separated species with low interspecific divergence between them, suggesting recent separation.

Four species (*Carcharhinus limbatus*, *Pomadasys corvinaformis*, *Larimus breviceps* and *Trichiurus lepturus*) showed markedly deeper COI variation, ranging from 2.2% to 7.6%, yet in each case, only one specimen (Fig. 4) split from the main group of its conspecifics. Mean K2P distance within the main groups in each of these species ranged from 0.0% to 0.5%, in accordance with typical intraspecific divergence values. There is no evidence supporting allopatric population divergence, because one or more individuals from the main group were also caught at the same location as the divergent specimens. Thus, the possibility of overlooked diversity needs to be further investigated. Two different BINs are linked to each one of the internal groups formed inside *C. limbatus*, *L. breviceps* and *T. lepturus*. For *Carcharhinus limbatus*, the BIN linked to the divergent specimen (AAA3388) and the one for the rest of the group (AAA5251) were both attributed to at least other five species belonging to the genus *Carcharhinus* by researches from several parts of the world, indicating a high degree of difficulty in accurately identifying species belonging to this genus and/or possible gene flow between them. Also, previous studies revealed low divergences between *C. limbatus* and other congeneric species, especially *C. tilstoni* (Ward *et al.* 2008; Wong *et al.* 2009). Both BINs linked to *Larimus breviceps* were attributed only to this species, with the BIN associated with the divergent specimen (AAZ7390) being comprised of only this singleton. The same was
observed for Trichiurus lepturus: both BINs refer to this species and the divergent BIN (AAZ7246) is comprised of the singleton from this study. Studies from other regions involving the globally distributed hairtail fish T. lepturus suggest a high degree of intraspecific divergence exists within this species and additional mitochondrial data support the idea that T. lepturus represents a ‘species complex’ that may consist of three species (Hsu et al. 2009), indicating that this group could be a good target for a taxonomic revision.

The present study supports the idea that the DNA barcoding through part of the mitochondrial gene COI is an adequate tool to discriminate most species of fishes. DNA barcoding was proposed as a global system for animal identification (Hebert et al. 2003b) because of its evolutionary rate, absence of indels, limited occurrence of recombination and because of the existence of robust primers capable of recovering the barcode from diverse taxa. This study and others like this demonstrate the success of barcoding to accurately discriminate a great number of species. Interestingly, Lane (2009) suggests a reason deeper than coincidence or convenience for the performance of the DNA barcode based on COI – suggesting that mitochondrial genes might actually be powerful drivers in the process of speciation. Because ATP generation is critical to fitness and derives from both nuclear and mitochondrial genomes, the tight co-adaptation of the subunits encoded by mtDNA and nDNA may participate in the formation of reproductive barriers (Gershoni et al. 2009), suggesting a mechanistic basis for the efficacy of barcoding in delineating species. Notably, Puslednik et al. (2012) showed that mitochondrial COI amino acid residues that interact with amino acid residues from proteins of the oxidative phosphorylation system encoded by the nuclear genome have a faster evolutionary rate than those COI amino acids that interact with proteins encoded by other mitochondrial genes or those which do not interact with other proteins. This co-evolution between the mitochondrial and nuclear Cytochrome c oxidase subunits is not accounted for using simple models of nucleotide sequence evolution. The Kimura 2-parameter model, for example, assumes different substitution rates only for transitions and transversions (Kimura 1980), while a model that considers other sources of variation may be a better choice. However, our results using different substitution models were equivocal, perhaps because the actual number of interacting residues is relatively limited.

In summary, this study corroborates the suitability of the DNA barcode approach to discriminate and unambiguously identify most species of marine fishes examined to date. Patterns of COI divergence consistently matched morphologically recognized species, although in a few cases, molecular data revealed hidden genetic divergences within a group and one case of low interspecific variation. As cryptic taxa are relatively common among marine animals, the possibility of overlooked diversity and the occurrence of species complexes have to be considered. For the purpose of the Barcoding of Life Initiative, the more complete the barcode library, the more efficient and useful it is (Ekrem et al. 2007). So, this kind of contribution is important to consolidate the DNA barcode as a global system of identification of life and it is a first step to better understand the genetic diversity of the Brazilian marine fishes. However, it is clear that even among expert taxonomists, the consistent application of names proves challenging, particularly when compounded with the presence of cryptic diversity, as evidenced by the conflicting names applied to specimens within the same BIN by different research groups. As more data accumulate globally, barcoding and BINs will play an important role in the integrative taxonomic feedback loop and will contribute significantly to standardizing the application of names internationally, which is of extreme significance for the sustainable management of the world’s fisheries.
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