

# Building a Reference Library: Sourcing Specimens Natural History Collections

Jeremy deWaard, Sean Prosser, Jayme Sones, Meredith Miller, Masha Kuzmina, Valerie Levesque-Beaudin and Evgeny Zakharov Scientific Steering Committee, October 13 & 14, 2018

## Major sources of specimens for iBOL-II

1. Fresh collections

2. Living collections and DNA/tissue repositories

3. Natural history museums and herbaria

# Major sources of specimens for iBOL-II

### 1. Fresh collections

2. Living collections and DNA/tissue repositories

3. Natural history museums and herbaria









### **4,023,500** Fresh specimens sourced, processed and archived at CBG







## Major sources of specimens for iBOL-II

- 1. Fresh collections
- 2. Living collections and DNA/tissue repositories
- 3. Natural history museums and herbaria









## Major sources of specimens for iBOL-II

1. Fresh collections

2. Living collections and DNA/tissue repositories

3. Natural history museums and herbaria

# Natural history collections for reference library construction

PROS

- Huge resource of ~3 billion specimens world-wide
- Name-bearing types and other expertly identified/verified material
- Rare and extinct taxa often available, including from restrictive countries

### CONS

- Technical difficulties in recovering DNA due to DNA degradation (= slower, costlier)
- Restrictions on access, sampling and data release
- Biases in taxa/regions based on curators



# CBG natural history collection harvesting

**Museums** 



~165K specimens from 14 institutions

### Herbaria



~15K specimens from 27 institutions

# Factors affecting recovery of Sanger sequenced DNA barcodes

OPEN 🗟 ACCESS Freely available online

PLOS ONE

A DNA 'Barcode Blitz': Rapid Digitization and Sequencing of a Natural History Collection

Hebert et al. 2013 doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0068535</u>



Applications in Plant Sciences 2017 5(12): 1700079

APPLICATION ARTICLE

USING HERBARIUM-DERIVED DNAs TO ASSEMBLE A LARGE-SCALE DNA BARCODE LIBRARY FOR THE VASCULAR PLANTS OF CANADA<sup>1</sup>

Kuzmina et al. 2017 doi: <u>10.3732/apps.1700079</u>

### Factor: Age



Hebert et al. 2013 doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0068535</u>

### Factor: Age



Canadian Museum Nature mosses 2016-2017 (N = 1981)

### Factor: Marker



### Factor: Collector



Hebert et al. 2013 doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0068535</u>

### Factor: Interplay of multiple variables



Average Specimen Age

Canadian National Collection insects 2008-2014 (N = 157K)

### Next generation sequencing solutions



#### MOLECULAR ECOLOGY RESOURCES

Molecular Ecology Resources (2016) 16, 487–497

doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12474

# DNA barcodes from century-old type specimens using next-generation sequencing

Prosser et al. 2016 doi: <u>10.1111/1755-0998.12474</u>

### Degraded DNA protocol for animals



Reads assembled into full-length barcode

e.g. 3000 types in Hausmann et al. 2016 doi: <u>10.1139/gen-2015-0197</u>

# Degraded DNA NGS protocol for animals

- Multiplex 96 samples on one run
- SMRT sequencing on PacBio Sequel
- Validation important higher likelihood of chimeras & pseudogenes
- 2-4X the cost of standard Sanger-based museum protocols
- 70.4% mean sequence recovery (on hardest samples); 5-20X increase over Sanger; weak association with age (R<sup>2</sup>=0.04)

Service now offered at





## 'Upgraded' plant barcoding



Hollingsworth et al. 2016 doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0338

Some groups moving to complete chloroplast genomes, e.g. Zhang et al. 2018 doi: <u>10.1038/s41598-017-00321-6</u>

# Mixed Sanger/NGS strategy for museum harvesting

- Pilot: SI-NMNH Diptera
  - Selected/analyzed 950 specimens, mostly 1950+
  - Mean age = 38.3 years
  - Sanger-based approach first (307 bp and 407bp fragments)
    - 53.8% barcode recovery
  - Degraded DNA NGS protocol on failures
    - 88.4% barcode recovery



## General harvesting strategies

- Generate pick lists before visit
- Set thresholds / expectations based on factors and \$
- Small sequences fine => often match freshly collected material (35.4% for SI-NMNH material)



Hebert et al. 2013 doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0068535</u>





#### **Pre-Conference Workshop Resources**

#### Workshop presentation

- 1. Welcome and introduction Natural History Collection Harvesting Strategies & Logistics (PDF)
- 2. Sample Harvesting in Natural History Collections (PDF)
- 3. Specimen Digitization (PDF)
- 4. Pre-lab Processing (PDF)
- 5. Standard Protocols for Natural History Collection Barcoding (PDF)
- 6. Data Analysis and Archiving (PDF)
- 7. New Developments in the lab (PDF)

#### Files provided

#### CCDB and BOLD Templates

- BOLD ImageData Submission (XLS): Spreadsheet used to upload image data to BOLD.
- BOLD SpecimenData v3 Submission (XLS): Spreadsheet used to upload specimen data to BOLD.
- CCDB-00000 Record (XLS): Used to submit plates to CCDB or can be used to record sample ID for arrays (including map).

#### Museum Templates

- Specimen Removal Labels (XLS): Create label place holders for specimen removal from a museum collection.\*Need to change the institution on loan to and the plate numbers accordingly.
- DNA Barcode Labels (XLS): Labels to add to specimens that are tissue sampled on site to mark
  that they have been sampled for sequencing, \*Need to update the sample ID accordingly.
- Museum Data Entry (XLS): Spreadsheet to enter data while at the museum. The information will
  also be used by the museum for the loan invoice.

#### **Documentation**

- iBOL-BIO BMTA and Data Policy Agreement (DOC): iBOL Biological Material Transfer and Data
   Policy Agreement.
- CBG Loan Conditions (PDF): Our loan conditions if you are interested in borrowing specimens.

#### Shipping

- Protocol for Shipping Ethanol (PDF): International Air Transport Association (IATA) requirements for shipping ethanol.
- NZNHN Shipping Dried Herbarium Specimens (PDF): New Zealand National Herbarium Network
   – Standard for shipping of dried herbarium specimens.



iBOL 2017 workshop: <a href="http://biodiversitygenomics.net/resources/ibol-2017/">http://biodiversitygenomics.net/resources/ibol-2017/</a>

### Strategic Priorities for DNA Barcoding Natural History Collections



**Authors:** Peter M. Hollingsworth<sup>1\*</sup>; David Harris<sup>1</sup>; Michelle Hart<sup>1</sup>; Gabi Dröge<sup>2</sup>; Isabel Ray<sup>3</sup>; Inés Álvarez Fernández<sup>3</sup>; Javier Fuertes Aguilar<sup>3</sup>; Beatriz Alvarez Dorda<sup>3</sup>; Tim Fulcher<sup>4</sup>; Stefanie Krause<sup>5</sup>; Thomas von Rintelen<sup>5</sup>; Michel Guiraud<sup>6</sup>; Carole Paleco<sup>7</sup>; Thierry Backeljau<sup>7</sup>; Patrick Grootaert<sup>7</sup>; Barbara Gravendeel<sup>8</sup>, René Dekker<sup>8</sup>; Elisabeth Haring<sup>9</sup>; Karin Wiltschke-Schrotta<sup>9</sup>; Nikolaus Szucsich<sup>9</sup>; Luise Kruckenhauser<sup>9</sup>; Vacek František<sup>10</sup>; Jiří Kvaček<sup>10</sup>; Jackie Mackenzie-Dodds<sup>11</sup>; Stephen Russell<sup>11</sup>; Garin Cael<sup>12</sup>; Danny Meirte<sup>12</sup>; Sean Prosser<sup>13</sup>; Paul Hebert<sup>13</sup>

### Hollingsworth et al. 2016: <u>http://synthesys3.myspecies.info/node/616</u>

## Acknowledgements

**Co-authors** 

CBG and iBOL colleagues

S. Miller, A. Hausmann & R. Rougerie

GGI / SIBN / SBF funding



PDF (with links and papers) posted at: <u>http://biodiversitygenomics.net/resources/ibol-2017/</u>



ntario

MINISTRY OF Research and Innovation



#### GGI Gap Analysis Tool × + https://ggidata.shinyapps.io/gapanalysis/ $\leftarrow$ Q 🕁 0 £ С **GGI Gap Analysis Tool** Input Results Summary **Results Table** Download Results Input Names Name Options **Output Filtering** Names can be uploaded in a file or pasted directly into the These options can be specified for the list of input names. These options filter the output of the gap analysis. text box. Only names at the kingdom, phylum/division, class, order, Taxonomic rank of submitted Filter by names status family, or genus rank can be analyzed. This tool does not work on species names. names Filter results based on the GBIF name status. E.g. To receive only the results matching accepted GBIF names, select Select the taxonomic rank of the names submitted. E.g. If accepted from Name status submitting a list of all families, select Family as the Upload name file Taxonomic rank of submitted names Name status Upload a .txt file containing one name per line. Taxonomic rank of submitted names Not Specified • Names file (.txt) Not Specified -Browse... No file selected Filter by taxon Dataset label These options allow for filtering by a specified taxonomic groups. e.g. If submitting a list of mammal families, select Paste list of names This label is used to name the download files. Class from Select filter rank, then select Mammalia from Dataset label Select filter taxonomic name Paste a list of names directly into this text box. Please enter one name per row. Select filter rank User Data List of names -Not Specified One name per row Select filter taxonomic name None Selected • **Run Analysis** Run Analysis After clicking Run Analysis, manually click on

GGI Gap Analysis Tool: https://ggidata.shinyapps.io/gapanalysis/

Results Summary of Results Table to view results.

В

#### CL-NALEP (Lepidoptera of North America, North o... Checklist

Code: CL-NALEP	Tax Breakdown:
Title: Lepidoptera of North America, North of Mexico	
Citation: Pohl, GR, Patterson, B, and Pelham JP. 2016 [unpublished]. Annotated taxonomic check	klist of the
Lepidoptera of North America, North of Mexico. EXCEL version 1.1. (15 June 2016).	
URL:	
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/302570819_Annotated_taxonomic_checklist_of_the_Le	epidoptera_of_North_America_North_of_Mexico
Description: This file is an updated EXCEL version of the P3 working checklist by Pohl, Patterson,	, and Pelham
(version 1.0) made available in PDF form online (Researchgate). It is an non-reviewed, provisional	l checklist, made
available by the authors as a working paper. Please send corrections and additions to GR Pohl at	t
micromothman@gmail.com.	

### Checklist Progress Report for CL-NALEP



#### **Progress Report Results**

#### **Download Progress**

Progress Report provides results of the comparison between checklist **CL-NALEP** and all data on BOLD.

#### Summary of Results

Taxon Level	Total	%Sampled	%Sequenced	%Barcoded
Subspecies	2911	13.57%	12.37%	10.89%
Species	12763	89.20%	83.56%	79.84%
Subgenus	79	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Genus	2504	95.53%	93.77%	92.29%
Subtribe	70	7.14%	7.14%	7.14%
Tribe	247	30.77%	30.77%	30.77%
Subfamily	237	78.06%	78.06%	78.06%
Family	92	95.65%	95.65%	95.65%
Superfamily	34	2.94%	2.94%	2.94%
Order	1	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

								Search.	•		
family	Subfamily Reference	Tribe	Tribe Reference	Subtribe	Subtribe Reference	Genus 🕴	Genus Reference	Subgenus Reference	Species	Species Reference	e
tuinae	Latreille 1809	Xylenini	Guenée 1837	Cosmiina	Guenée 1852	Zotheca	Grote 1874		Zotheca tranquilla	Grote 18	74
tuinae	Latreille 1809	Eriopygini	Fibiger & Lafontaine 2005			Zosteropod	Grote 1874		Zosteropoda hirtipes	Grote 18	74
tuinae	Latreille 1809	Eriopygini	Fibiger & Lafontaine 2005			Zosteropod	Grote 1874		Zosteropoda clementei	Meadows 1942	;
tiinae	Zeller 1839	Phycitini	Zeller 1839			Zophodia	Hübner 1825		Zophodia multistriatella	(Blanchar Knudson 1982)	d &

Phyla: 1 Classes: 1 Orders: 1 Superfamily: 34 Families: 92 Subfriles: 237 Tribe: 247 Subtribe: 70 Genera: 2504 Subgenus: 79 Species: 12763 Subspecies: 2911

### BOLD Checklists: http://boldsystems.org/index.php/Checklists\_Management/

⊖ ×

				Ablautus colei	5 🔎	3	0
BOLDSYSTEMS	DATAE	BASES IDENTIFICATION TAXONOMY W	ORKBENCH RESC	Ablautus rufotibialis	2 🔎	2	1
TAXONOMY BROWS		R: Asilidae		Ablautus sp.	2 🔎	2	2
				Acasilus tigrimontis	1 <b>"O</b>	1	1
Arthropoda / Insecta	/ Diptera / Asilidae			Acnephalomyia andrenoides	1 <b>"</b> O	1	1
		Taxon Description (Wikir	Acnephalomyia dorsalis	4 🔎	4	4	
		The Asilidae are the They are powerfully enclosing the sharp,	robber fly family, als built, bristly flies wit sucking hypopharyr	Acronyches sp.	1 <b>"O</b>	1	1
<ul> <li>CC BY-NC-SA ▲ CBG Photography Group</li> <li>Image of Asilidae</li> </ul>				Afroestricus chiastoneurus	3 🔎	3	3
				Afroestricus variabilis	1 <b>"O</b>	0	0
				Afroholopogon mauros	1 <b>"O</b>	1	1
				Afroholopogon sp.	з 🔎	1	1
Statistics		Specimen Depositories	Sequencir	Afromochtherus mendax	1 <b>O</b>	1	1
Specimen Records: Specimens with	5,606 4,676		<ul> <li>Biodiversity Ir</li> <li>Mined from G</li> <li>Centre for Bio</li> <li>Naturalis Bioc</li> <li>Canadian Cen</li> </ul>	Afromosia barkemeyeri	1,0	0	0
Sequences: Specimens with	4,316			Afroscleropogon dilutus	1,0	1	1
Barcodes: Species:	839	Canta fa Diadiantia Canadia (D722)		Albibarbefferia albibarbis	1.0	1	1
Species With	504	<ul> <li>Centre for Biodiversity Genomics [2722]</li> <li>Research Collection of Robert S.</li> <li>Constant [471]</li> </ul>				I	1
Public Records:	2,649	<ul> <li>Mined from GenBank, NCBI [416]</li> <li>Roval British Columbia Museum [379]</li> </ul>		Alcimus slenurus	3 🔎	0	0
Public Species:	492	<ul> <li>South Australian Museum [274]</li> <li>Smithsonian Institution National</li> </ul>	[59] Smithsonian Ins	stitution National			
Public BINs:	712	Museum of Natural History [239]	Museum of Natura Generic Comme	il History [4] ercial Labs [3]			
SPECIES LIST	PUBLIC DATA	Bernardino Rivadavia [179] Naturalis Biodiversity Centre [118] 40 Others [585]	<ul> <li>Canadian Nation</li> <li>Arachnids and Nen</li> <li>3 Others [3]</li> </ul>	nal Collection of Insects, na [3]			

Species

### BOLDSYSTEMS

Specimens

Sequences

 $a \equiv$ 

Barcodes >500bp

### BOLD Taxonomy Browser: http://boldsystems.org/index.php/TaxBrowser\_Home

### Factor: Body size



Hebert et al. 2013 doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0068535</u>

